

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,125	02/26/2004	Cynthia W. Berry	1215-0506P (000550-078)	1857	
2292 75	90 12/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEW	ART KOLASCH & BI	GEBREMARIA	GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TALLS CHOICE	511, VII 22010 0771		2811		
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/786,125	BERRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel A. Gebremariam	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 (	October 2005					
,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-7 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	n from consideration.					
Application Papers			,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected or by $\bowtie$ objected education dependence. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected in the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate	<sup>-</sup> O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/786,125 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I claims 1-4 in the reply filed on
 10/25/05 is acknowledged. Applicant did not provide any reason for the traversal. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### **Drawings**

2. New corrected drawings are required in this application since the drawings filed 2/26/2004 are objected to because lines, number & letters not uniformly thick and well defined, clean, durable, and black (poor line quality) in all figures. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2811

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glenn et al., US patent No. 6,528,875 in view of Uchikoba US patent No. 6,698,084.

Regarding claim 1, Glenn teaches (fig. 1a): a plurality of stacked layers of ceramic material (30,32,34) including metallization (19, 21, where vias 21 are filled with conductors) in predetermined patterns on and through the layers (30 and 32); the stacked layers including a plurality of exposed electrical conductors (layer 19 that is exposed and connected to 20) to which leads are to be bonded; the conductors being of a metal which includes one or more additives to promote adhesion to the ceramic layer (conductor 19 is formed of gold-nickel alloy) on which the conductors are deposited (gold-nickel alloys adheres well on ceramic); a bonding metal layer (20) on top of the conductors at predetermined locations and the leads 18 being bonded the bonding metal layer (20).

Glenn does not explicitly teach that the bonding metal layer being of the same metal as the conductors, however devoid of the one or more additives.

Uchikoba teaches (figs. 1A-1C) forming a conductive electrode (43) that is formed of gold and nickel and connected a gold bump (31, no nickel) in the structure of forming a semiconductor device package.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the gold-nickel to gold connection taught by Uchikoba in the structure of Glenn in order enhance the reliability of the bonding during operation. Therefore the combined structure of Glenn and Uchikaba teaches the

bonding metal layer being of the same metal as the conductors (gold), however devoid of the one or more additives (nickel is taken to be the additive).

The limitation of an "LTCC structure comprising" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Furthermore LTCC is considered a product-by-process claim. "[E]ven though product-by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Regarding claim 2, Glenn teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above including the bonding metal layer is deposited on a limited area of a the conductor only where said lead is to be bonded (refer to fig. 1a).

Regarding claim 3, Glenn teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above including the conductors are of a gold paste/layer (layer 19 is gold with nickel as the additive) with the additives; bonding metal layer is of a pure gold

Art Unit: 2811

paste/layer (layer 31 of Uchikoba is formed of only gold) devoid of the additives (no nickel).

Regarding claim 4, Glenn teaches (fig. 1A) substantially the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above including predetermined ones of the layers (30,32,34) include respective cavities (recess 42 and vias 21); predetermined ones of the conductors (19 on 32) being located on at least one the layer (32) below the top layer (34) of the stacked layers (30,32,34); the predetermined ones of the conductors (19) being accessible through the cavities (42) for bonding of the leads (18).

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A Gebremariam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1653. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAG December 8, 2005

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800